2025

NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY

Online ISSN: 2682-6151 Print
ISSN: 2682-6143

Volume 8, Issue 1, 2025

Published by
Nigerian Association of Social Psychologists
www.nigerianjsp.com

Artificial Intelligence and the Future of School Leadership: Implications for Stress Management and Governance

[1]Osegbue Gloria Chineze
[2]Ekwe Ngozika Ijeoma
Faculty of Education
Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Anambra State, Nigeria
Phone Numbers: [1]08034507260 & [2]08034317987
University Email: [1]gc.osegbue@coou.edu.ng & [2]ngozikanigerwest@gmail.com
[1]ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0005-1516-3827

[3]Ogaga Samson Alordiah
Research unit
Carvimial Research Advisory, Agbor, Nigeria
Email: alordiahoc@gmail.com
ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0000-6069-6562

Abstract
School leadership is changing due to the growing integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into educational institutions. AI can improve data-driven decision-making, automate administrative duties, and assist school administrators in managing their stress. However, its integration also provides difficulties and ethical issues that should be carefully considered. This study aims to investigate how AI affects school leadership, with a particular emphasis on how it affects stress management, educational governance, and policy implementation. The goal is to examine how AI can improve leadership efficacy while tackling possible issues in the field of education. By automating repetitive processes and facilitating more effective time management and decision-making, AI-driven solutions have greatly lessened the administrative load on school administrators. However, The incorporation of AI brings difficulties, including algorithmic bias, employee resistance, and the requirement for ethical standards. School administrators must balance AI's effectiveness with human judgment while applying it openly and morally. AI has enormous potential to change educational governance through enhancing adherence, tracking student progress, and allocating resources as efficiently as possible. But putting it into practice calls for careful handling of moral issues like algorithmic bias, data privacy, and transparency. School administrators should prioritise AI literacy, set ethical standards, and promote a collaborative atmosphere to optimise AI's advantages. Educators must get professional development and training to incorporate AI into school systems successfully.

Keywords: AI in education, school leadership, stress management, educational governance, data-driven decision-making, ethical AI.

Introduction
Artificial intelligence (AI) has become a disruptive force in the quickly changing field of education, changing how educational institutions are run, how students learn, and how administrators oversee them (Alordiah, 2023a). AI-driven technologies are incorporated into many facets of school administration, from data-driven decision-making to automating repetitive chores. As AI develops further, its impact goes beyond operational effectiveness and directly affects school administrators' wellbeing and educational governance. AI raises concerns about ethical issues, employment displacement, and growing reliance on technology, even while it offers many chances to improve school management (Alordiah, 2023b; Wang, 2021; Wang, 2020).

In the digital age, the role of school leadership is changing significantly. School leaders have historically served as administrators, teachers, and policy implementers (Ohamobi et al., 2024; Filgueiras, 2023; Manafa et al., 2022). But as AI becomes more prevalent in education, their duties are broadening to oversee AI-powered systems, maintain digital equity, and resolve moral conundrums arising from AI-based judgment. School administrators must concentrate on strategic leadership, human-centred decision-making, and creating an adaptive learning environment while AI automates numerous administrative tasks (Göçen, 2020). The psychological toll of technological adaptation worries about data privacy, and the requirement for ongoing professional growth in AI literacy are some of the new difficulties of integrating AI.

With an emphasis on stress management and educational governance, this research attempts to investigate the effects of AI on school leadership. This study aims to determine whether AI reduces stress or creates new stressors in the workplace by examining how it affects school administrators' regular tasks. The study will also explore the effects of AI-driven governance models on school policy implementation, resource allocation, and decision-making. Comprehending these ramifications is essential to guaranteeing that incorporating AI in education improves leadership efficacy while reducing detrimental impacts on wellbeing.

To thoroughly examine the subject, the paper is divided into multiple sections. The first section establishes the theoretical framework by going over leadership paradigms pertinent to AI integration. The impact of AI on school leadership transformation is examined in the next part, focusing on its use in administrative and decision-making tasks. The conversation then shifts to the relationship between AI and stress management, describing the possible advantages and difficulties for school administrators. The impact of AI on educational governance is examined in detail in the next section, which also discusses ethical issues, policy enforcement, and compliance monitoring. Insights into the future of AI-integrated leadership models and suggestions for school administrators to successfully handle AI's impact on stress management and governance are included in the paper's conclusion.

Theoretical Framework

School leadership is significantly impacted by incorporating artificial intelligence (AI) into educational institutions. This calls for re-examining leadership models in light of AI-driven governance and decision-making. School leadership has always been considered a position focused on policy implementation, administrative effectiveness, and instructional guidance. But in the digital age, school administrators also have to deal with the challenges of adopting AI, making decisions based on data, and navigating the ethical issues raised by new technology. Leaders are expected to transition into facilitators of AI-driven systems while upholding human-centred leadership methods as AI becomes an increasingly important part of school management (Chen et al., 2019).

Several leadership theories guide how school administrators might successfully oversee the shift to AI-integrated instruction. In this situation, transformational leadership which emphasises encouraging and motivating employees to meet organisational objectives is especially pertinent. By automating administrative duties, AI can be used as a tool to assist transformational leadership, freeing up school administrators to concentrate on student development, teacher empowerment, and vision-setting. The needs of AI-driven education are also met by adaptive leadership, emphasising adaptability and responsiveness to change. To optimise the advantages of AI while tackling new issues, school administrators must always learn, experiment with its applications, and modify their approaches. Due to AI's ability to

facilitate more decentralised and collaborative decision-making, distributed leadership is also essential. School administrators can more efficiently assign leadership duties by utilising AI-generated insights, enabling faculty and administrative personnel to participate in data-driven decision-making (Akgün, 2021; Lameras, 2021).

Artificial intelligence (AI) enhances decision-making by providing data-driven insights, predictive analytics, and automated reporting, in addition to automating repetitive tasks. AI can speed up resource distribution, monitor student performance trends, and assist with policy compliance, resulting in more efficient governance frameworks. However, school administrators must balance ethical concerns and AI-enhanced governance to ensure accountability, equity, and transparency in AI-driven decision-making. As AI advances, school administrators must integrate technology and human-centred approaches to provide an effective, equitable, and adaptable educational system (George, 2023).

AI and School Leadership Transformation

The way schools operate has changed significantly due to the introduction of artificial intelligence (AI), especially in administration and leadership. AI applications in education are intended to improve learning outcomes, expedite procedures, and offer data-driven insights that enable school administrators to make better choices. AI is increasingly used to enhance teaching and learning and change how school leadership functions, from personalised learning tools to predictive analytics. By automating repetitive processes like scheduling, grading, and student attendance tracking, AI-driven administrative technologies have become essential in relieving the workload of school administrators. With these technologies, administrators can concentrate more on strategic decision-making and leadership development (Osegbue et al., 2022; Ohamobi et al., 2021). Additionally, AI technologies facilitate decision-making by giving executives real-time data on student performance, faculty workload, and school operations, allowing them to make quicker and better decisions (Salas-Pilco et al., 2022). School administrators may more efficiently manage resources, anticipate possible problems, and pinpoint areas for development using AI-powered data. Traditional leadership roles are changing due to this degree of automation and insight, allowing school administrators to focus on higher-level duties like creating a vision, encouraging teamwork, and enhancing school culture while acting as facilitators of AI systems (Kamalov et al., 2023; Osegbue, 2022). School administrators must handle several ethical issues brought up by using AI in the classroom. Since AI systems depend on enormous volumes of data to operate efficiently, data privacy is one of the main issues. It is essential to protect student data against abuse and illegal access (George, 2023).

AI-driven decision-making procedures also need to be impartial and transparent. AI algorithms may unintentionally reinforce current biases or inequities because they are built using previous data, especially regarding resource allocation and student rating. To guarantee that AI promotes equal outcomes for all kids, school administrators must exercise caution when choosing AI systems created with inclusion and justice. These moral dilemmas emphasise how crucial it is for school administrators to actively supervise the use of AI and ensure its application is consistent with the fundamental principles of justice, openness, and responsibility in education (Karaköse, 2023).

AI as a Support System for School Leaders

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is a potent tool for school administrators by simplifying administrative duties, improving decision-making, and offering individualised learning experiences for both instructors and students. School administrators may concentrate on more

complex strategic management and leadership tasks by using AI to automate many repetitive and time-consuming administrative tasks, ultimately lessening their overall workload.

Automating administrative duties is one of the most important ways AI helps school administrators. AI-driven solutions can now handle tasks like scheduling, grading, attendance tracking, and report preparation that previously required significant time and manual labour. Without continual supervision, these systems can produce reports, handle vast volumes of data rapidly, and offer real-time insights. AI improves overall operational efficiency by managing these repetitive chores, giving school administrators more time to concentrate on things like curriculum creation, staff assistance, and creating a pleasant school climate (Alordiah et al., 2023; Wang, 2020).

AI's capacity to evaluate enormous volumes of data and produce predictive insights also improves decision-making. Using AI-powered analytics, school administrators can make data-driven decisions based on up-to-date information. AI, for instance, can give leaders important insights into student performance patterns, enabling them to spot troubled pupils early on, anticipate future academic difficulties, and carry out focused interventions. By anticipating which parts of the school would require additional financing or attention, AI can also assist with resource allocation, enabling leaders to prioritise their efforts efficiently. This predictive analytics capability empowers School administrators to be proactive rather than reactive, which guarantees that the appropriate decisions are taken promptly (Milton, 2022).

Furthermore, AI is essential for tailoring instructor support and student learning. AI-powered solutions, like adaptive learning platforms, can assist in accommodating different learning styles and speeds by customising instructional materials to each student's needs. By tracking progress, offering tailored feedback, and modifying lessons accordingly, these platforms can make sure every student gets the help they need to succeed. AI can help teachers with differentiated education tactics, provide automated grading systems to lighten the strain, and make recommendations for professional development based on classroom data. AI makes learning more efficient, interesting, and productive by providing teachers and students individualised support. This enables school administrators to maximise learning outcomes and improve performance (Quaquebeke, 2023).

Implications of Stress Management for School Leaders

The multiple roles of a school leader requires balancing leadership obligations, administrative tasks, and cultivating a healthy school culture, which makes their functions naturally stressful. The demands of the position have increased due to the complexity of educational settings and the rising standards set for school administrators. In the era of artificial intelligence (AI), which is changing how schools function, school leaders need to comprehend the origins of stress to create efficient stress management techniques. Excessive workload and administrative strain are among school administrators' main causes of stress. School administrators are responsible for various duties, such as personnel management, budget supervision, policy compliance, and student and parent concerns (Alordiah, 2020). This heavy burden frequently results in long hours and a persistent feeling overwhelmed, leaving little opportunity for professional or personal growth (Onyekazi et al., 2024). Artificial intelligence (AI) can help reduce some of this load by simplifying procedures like scheduling, grading, and data management. School administrators can concentrate on more strategic responsibilities by using AI to reduce the time spent on these repetitive jobs, which lessens the burden and the stress that goes along with it.

School administrators deal with a lot of emotional and psychological strain in addition to the administrative duties. They frequently take the lead in resolving disputes, handling emergencies, and making tough choices that affect employees and students. Burnout and stress can result from the emotional toll of facing challenging circumstances, such as handling public scrutiny, staff conflicts, and student discipline difficulties (Alordiah, 2024). By offering real-time data and predictive analytics, artificial intelligence (AI) can help leaders manage these constraints and make data-driven decisions. This lessens the emotional toll of leadership decisions and eliminates the need for continuous guesswork. Additionally, AI-powered solutions can help school leaders better track wellbeing throughout the institution and support mental health efforts by offering resources to both staff and students (Holmes, 2022).

The need to adhere to rules and fulfil accountability requirements is another major cause of stress for school administrators. School administrators have an extra burden of ensuring compliance while upholding high levels of instruction since educational legislation and regulations are sometimes complicated and susceptible to change. Constantly following state laws, school district mandates, and governmental rules can be frustrating and stressful. Artificial Intelligence (AI) can play a crucial role by automating compliance tracking, producing reports for regulatory agencies, and guaranteeing that policies are being implemented in real-time. AI relieves the burden of compliance, freeing school administrators to concentrate on cultivating a culture of excellence in instruction and learning while lowering the stress and anxiety associated with managing policies (Osegbue , 2021; Reiß, 2020).

AI as a Tool for Stress Reduction

With tools that improve decision-making, expedite administrative duties, and support mental health and wellbeing, artificial intelligence (AI) offers school administrators a special chance to lessen stress. Administrators can reduce some of the position's responsibilities and establish a more manageable, healthy work environment by utilising AI in several facets of school leadership. Here are a few ways that educational leadership can use AI as a stress-reduction tool.

AI's capacity to help with time management and scheduling is among its most important advantages. The pressures of handling short-term and long-term objectives, juggling staff contacts, meetings, parent communication, and student problems frequently overwhelm school administrators. By automating calendar management, AI-powered scheduling applications can help school administrators better manage their time and prevent schedule problems. AI can also prioritise tasks according to their significance and urgency, providing updates and reminders for important work. AI lessens the mental strain of keeping track of every detail and helps alleviate the stress associated with always changing priorities by managing intricate schedules (Avurakoghene et al., 2023; Osegbue & Nnubia, 2020).

AI may also be very helpful in staff management and conflict resolution, which are often stressful for school administrators. School leadership will inevitably involve conflicts within the school, whether between students, staff, or both. By examining behavioural patterns, spotting possible roots of conflict, and offering data-driven insights that can direct actions, artificial intelligence (AI) can help resolve disputes. AI, for instance, can identify behavioural irregularities or breaks in communication, which may indicate more serious problems that require attention. AI solutions may also help school administrators monitor task distribution, spot possible burnout issues, and ensure resources are distributed equitably, all of which can help with staff management. This proactive approach to staff wellbeing management, enhances

the general school climate and lessens stress associated with personnel management (Göçen, 2020: Osegbue, 2019).

Provision of mental health care and wellbeing monitoring is another important area where AI can lower stress. School administrators are under tremendous pressure to provide emotional and psychological assistance to employees and kids. AI-powered mental health systems can facilitate real-time tracking of stress levels, emotional wellness, and mental health trends within the school community. By identifying symptoms of mental strain or fatigue, these systems can notify school administrators of possible problems before they become more serious. Furthermore, AI can provide tailored wellbeing options that are simple to incorporate into the educational setting, like counselling services, mental health check-ins, and meditation applications. These resources can lessen the emotional burden on school administrators, who frequently balance managing their own demands with promoting the mental health of employees and students. AI contributes to a more upbeat and balanced workplace by offering prompt interventions and assistance, which lessens stress for all parties (Pham, 2022).

Additionally, by enhancing communication and cutting administrative reporting time, AI can help people feel less stressed. Chatbots and communication systems with AI capabilities can automate routine contacts with parents, staff, and students by answering frequently asked questions, setting up appointments, and sending out updates. Instead of continuously managing routine communication, this helps school leaders focus on important, high-priority tasks. School administrators' cognitive load is also lessened by the automation of these duties, freeing them up to focus their mental and emotional resources on more significant, effective work (Karaköse, 2023).

Artificial Intelligence (AI) can also promote an inclusive and equitable culture, which can lessen stress for school administrators. School administrators may ensure their choices are open and consistent by using AI to monitor and guarantee fair resource distribution, impartial personnel management, and fair student evaluation. This lessens the strain of negotiating intricate social situations or dealing with possible charges of partiality or prejudice (Chan, 2023).

Potential Challenges and New Stressors

The introduction of Al in the school system has a lot to offer school administrators in terms of reducing stress, integrating it into learning environments also presents new difficulties and pressures that need to be managed. These difficulties may affect school administrators' and employees' wellbeing and the efficiency of AI systems. The main potential obstacles and fresh pressures related to implementing AI in schools are listed below.

Digital fatigue and technology dependence are two of the main issues. The use of technology in daily operations is expanding as AI systems become more and more integrated into school administration. Continually using digital platforms, tools, and systems can cause digital fatigue, a condition in which school administrators and employees become burned out (Osegbue et al., 2018). For people who may not be familiar with digital tools or are already under stress from other obligations, the constant need to adjust to new technologies can be daunting. Overuse of screens and the ongoing requirement to keep abreast of AI developments might eventually cause cognitive overload, fatigue, and a drop in productivity. The difficulty is striking a balance between using AI to increase productivity and ensuring that it doesn't result in an overreliance on technology that compromises mental and emotional health (Chiu, 2023).

Job insecurity and opposition to AI adoption are another major obstacle. Employees may worry about job displacement or role shifts as AI takes over more operational and administrative duties. Administrators and teachers who see AI as a danger to their jobs or a force threatening traditional teaching and management techniques may oppose school leaders. School administrators who have to handle both the technical requirements of integrating AI and the emotional concerns of staff may become more stressed due to this reluctance, which can cause tension in the classroom. Furthermore, using AI can call for new skill sets that present workers lack, necessitating continual professional development and thus causing feelings of inadequacy or obsolescence anxiety (Mishra et al., 2023).

Worthy to note that the use of AI in education raises questions about data privacy and ethical issues. Much data is needed for AI systems to work well, including sensitive and private information on employees, instructors, and students. School administrators ensure AI systems adhere to local privacy laws and data protection standards like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). If handled improperly, the gathering and use of student data can result in serious ethical problems such as confidentiality violations or improper use of private data. School administrators must take proactive steps to protect privacy by ensuring AI systems are open, safe, and devoid of prejudices that can result in staff or kids being treated unfairly. In addition to causing legal and reputational harm, ignoring these ethical issues can also make parents and employees anxious because they may worry about protecting their personal information (Kurtz et al., 2024).

The absence of AI literacy and training among school personnel and administrators presents another difficulty. Implementing AI technologies necessitates that school administrators have a degree of digital literacy that not all educators may have. Ineffective use, poor management, or a failure to reap the benefits of AI might arise from a lack of knowledge about AI tools and systems. Additionally, the requirement to master new technologies while still handling their current responsibilities may cause staff workers to feel nervous or overburdened. The requirement for ongoing professional development in AI literacy makes the workload of school administrators, who are already demanding, even more stressful. Overcoming this obstacle requires ensuring every employee receives the necessary training and assistance during the AI adoption (Ivanov et al., 2024).

Another issue that can surface as schools use AI technology is financial hardship. Implementing AI can come with a hefty upfront cost, particularly for schools with limited funding, which includes software purchase, training, and upkeep. School administrators, who must ensure that resources are spent efficiently while attending to the needs of staff and children, may become stressed out due to this financial strain. Furthermore, school administrators may experience stress and anxiety due to the obligation to defend AI investments to stakeholders or governing bodies that could doubt long-term advantages(Perera, 2023).

Finally, school administrators may experience stress due to the unforeseen repercussions of AI decision-making. Even though AI systems are effective, they are imperfect and may base their judgments on faulty information or skewed algorithms. School administrators must keep a close eye on AI-driven choices to ensure they reflect the institution's values and ethical standards. School administrators may experience criticism from stakeholders when AI judgments are disputed, whether related to staff performance, student assessments, or resource distribution. This can add to their stress levels. These unforeseen repercussions show how important it is for school administrators to balance using AI tools confidently and incorporating human judgment into decision-making (Luo, 2024).

AI and Educational Governance

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming educational governance by improving policy execution and guaranteeing regulatory compliance. AI technology can provide strong solutions to automate compliance checks, expedite procedures, and track key performance metrics, as schools and other academic institutions are under more pressure to adhere to regulatory norms. School administrators can increase productivity, lessen administrative workloads, and guarantee that policies are implemented consistently throughout the institution by incorporating AI into governance frameworks (Barrett, 2023).

Regulatory compliance and reporting are two of AI's main functions in educational governance. Keeping schools conforming to the many rules established by local, state, and federal education authorities can be difficult and time-consuming. Systems with AI capabilities can automate the compliance tracking process, guaranteeing that all legal obligations are fulfilled instantly. For instance, with little human assistance, AI can create reports for regulatory agencies, manage required teacher credentials, and keep an eye on compliance with curriculum standards. School administrators can quickly take corrective action by using these technologies to identify deficiencies in compliance. In addition to saving time, automating compliance tasks lowers the possibility of human error and guarantees that the organisation maintains good standing with regulatory bodies (Yusuf et al., 2024; Ohamobi et al., 2018).

AI is also essential for tracking teacher efficacy and student performance. Conventional techniques for gauging instructor effectiveness and student progress can be laborious and occasionally arbitrary. However, real-time, data-driven insights into teacher efficacy and student learning results can be obtained through AI-driven tools. AI can assist in identifying at-risk students early on and enabling prompt interventions to promote their academic achievement by evaluating student data, including grades, attendance, and behavioural patterns. Additionally, by examining trends in student performance over time, AI systems may monitor the efficacy of teaching initiatives and give teachers insightful feedback on their approaches. School administrators can use these insights to influence data-driven decisions on curriculum modifications, professional development, and resource allocation (Bower et al., 2024).

In furtherance, AI-powered solutions can provide a thorough understanding of the educational landscape, enabling school administrators to monitor how well educational policies and initiatives work. AI can assist in determining which policies are effective and which could require revision or revision by gathering and evaluating enormous volumes of data. AI, for instance, can help school administrators replicate instructional strategies that produce improved student outcomes in other classrooms. AI, on the other hand, can identify places where kids are performing poorly despite policy changes, indicating the need for further help or adjustments (Rudolph, 2024).

AI in Decision-Making and Resource Allocation

Artificial intelligence (AI) in educational governance decision-making is becoming increasingly important since it gives school administrators strong tools to help them make data-driven, well-informed choices. AI's ability to evaluate enormous volumes of data and provide actionable insights can completely transform decision-making processes, from resource allocation to policy formation, guaranteeing that resources are distributed effectively and fairly (Tzirides et al., 2024).

Data-driven policymaking is one of the main ways AI affects educational governance decision-making. In the past, education policy decisions were frequently made based on limited datasets, historical precedents, or intuition. Nevertheless, policymakers may now use enormous volumes of real-time data to guide their judgments thanks to the integration of AI. AI systems, for instance, can examine demographic data, attendance logs, and student performance data to find trends, patterns, and possible areas for development. Policies that are more suited to the unique requirements of the student body can then be shaped using this data. To proactively create policies that handle new problems like shifting student demographics, evolving educational standards, or impending technological developments, policymakers can also use AI to forecast future trends and challenges in education. School administrators can ensure that their initiatives are based on unbiased, fact-based insights rather than conjecture or anecdotal evidence by using AI to influence policy decisions (Jauhiainen, 2023).

The potential of AI to improve operational and financial efficiency is a noteworthy additional use in educational governance. Managing limited funds and ensuring resources are distributed where they are most needed are frequent responsibilities for school administrators. By evaluating financial data, spotting areas for cost savings, and allocating resources as efficiently as possible, AI systems can help in this field. AI, for instance, can analyse expenditure across departments, evaluate the cost-effectiveness of various educational programs, and recommend methods to cut waste or reallocate funds to areas that will maximise return on investment. By evaluating data on teacher effectiveness, student outcomes, and staffing levels, AI can also assist in optimising staffing decisions and guarantee that the appropriate people are present where they are most needed. School administrators can concentrate on strategic decision-making instead of daily administrative duties by using AI solutions to automate processes like scheduling, inventory management, and resource planning (O'Dea, 2024).

The ability for administrators to use Systems with AI capabilities, can improve the management and distribution of both digital and physical resources in terms of operational effectiveness. AI can identify areas where resources, such as technology, textbooks, or classroom materials, are most needed and suggest changes in their distribution by monitoring usage trends and student performance data. AI can also help with facilities management by anticipating maintenance requirements, spotting energy conservation chances, and ensuring the physical infrastructure supports the learning environment (Chen et al., 2022).

Furthermore, AI can promote equal resource distribution by guaranteeing that underprivileged pupils or schools with fewer resources are not disregarded. AI can assist in identifying educational institutions or student groups that might require more financing, faculty, or instructional resources. AI may assist leaders in making decisions that advance equity and fairness by supplying information on which parts of the educational system need more resources, guaranteeing that every student has access to the resources and chances they need to thrive (Walczak, 2023).

Risks and Ethical Concerns in AI-Based Governance

The use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education, has great promise for improving educational governance, integrating AI also presents serious hazards and ethical issues that must be handled properly. These concerns pertain to the role of human judgment in governance and the equity and openness of AI-driven judgments. School administrators must be on the lookout for biases, maintain accountability, and strike a balance between AI-driven efficiency and human control.

Bias in AI algorithms is one of the most important ethical issues in AI-based governance. Because AI systems are trained on historical data, biased data will probably be reflected in and reinforce the biases in the results. Biased evaluations of student performance, unequal resource distribution, or discriminatory employee recruiting practices are just a few examples of how this can appear in educational settings. An AI system may unintentionally propagate systemic biases in its decision-making processes, for instance, if it analyses historical data that depicts racial or socioeconomic inequality. This could result in underserved or unfairly evaluated minority students, which runs counter to the equity and fairness ideals that educational institutions want to preserve. To detect and address potential biases, school administrators must ensure that the data used to train AI systems is representative, diverse, and routinely audited (Filgueiras, 2023).

School leaders must ensure that AI-driven judgments are transparent and accountable is assured. Transparency on the methods by which AI systems get their results is critical as these systems assume more significant decision-making responsibilities in educational governance. Stakeholders must comprehend the reasoning behind AI-powered decisions on staff hiring, resource allocation, and student evaluations. Students, parents, teachers, and other school community members may become distrustful if there is a lack of transparency. Furthermore, it's critical to have explicit accountability procedures in place if an AI system makes a judgment that has a detrimental effect on a staff person or student. If a choice made by AI produces unfair results, who has the blame? School administrators must keep an eye on AI systems, create distinct lines of accountability, and ensure that procedures are in place for examining and contesting AI choices to guarantee accountability. To promote a culture of trust and understanding, schools should also clearly disclose how AI systems operate and how they are being utilised to make choices (Wang, 2021).

Finally, the difficulty of balancing human judgment and AI efficiency in governance is another issue. AI can speed up and efficiently analyse large volumes of data to optimise decision-making processes. Still, it cannot replace human leaders' empathetic and deep grasp of governance. AI might spot trends in student performance data fast, for instance. Still, it might not be able to completely comprehend the circumstances of a particular student's case or the specific difficulties they might be facing. Using AI only to make judgments may result in effective choices that ignore the complexity of human behaviour and unique situations. School administrators must find a balance such that AI complements and improves human judgment rather than completely replacing it. Human oversight is required to guarantee that AI-generated decisions reflect the ideals of the school community and consider the larger context and any repercussions. Combining the advantages of AI and human knowledge allows school administrators to make more thoughtful, compassionate choices that put every student's achievement and wellbeing first (Yu, 2023).

Key Insights

1. AI reduces administrative burden for school leaders.
2. AI enhances data-driven decision-making.
3. AI supports stress management for school leaders.
4. Ethical concerns and transparency challenges in AI integration.
5. Resistance to AI adoption from staff due to job security fears.
6. AI improves educational governance and policy implementation.
7. AI enhances resource allocation and financial efficiency.
8. Balancing AI efficiency with human judgment is essential.
9. Increased dependence on technology may lead to digital fatigue.

10. AI has the potential to support equitable decision-making.

Future Prospects: AI-Integrated Leadership Models

The role of school administrators is changing as artificial intelligence (AI) continues to influence education in the future. School leaders will need to embrace digital transformation, pick up new skills, and make decisions that balance human-centred values and technology improvements in the years to come due to AI-integrated leadership models. The prospects listed below demonstrate how AI is anticipated to impact school leadership in the future.

The Evolving Role of School Leaders as AI Facilitators

School administrators will become facilitators of AI-driven systems rather than traditional managers. To improve teaching, learning, and administrative procedures, they must be knowledgeable about AI technologies and serve as liaisons between teachers, students, and technology.

Training and Professional Development for AI Literacy in Education

School administrators and staff will need to continue their professional development as AI becomes more and more integrated into the classroom. To ensure that their staff have the know-how to use AI technologies efficiently and incorporate them into administrative and instructional contexts, school administrators must prioritise AI literacy.

Ethical AI Integration for Sustainable Educational Leadership

School administrators need to make sure AI is included in teaching methods ethically. To guarantee justice and equity in educational outcomes entails creating standards for responsible AI use, protecting data privacy, avoiding algorithmic bias, and encouraging openness in decision-making procedures.

AI-Enhanced Collaboration and Distributed Leadership

AI will facilitate a distributed, more cooperative leadership style with decentralised decision-making. Using AI tools, school administrators can encourage a culture of shared leadership and group accountability by actively including staff and teachers in data-driven decision-making.

AI-Driven Personalized Professional Development for Educators

Based on individual teaching styles and classroom performance data, AI will generate individualised professional development programs for educators, pinpointing improvement areas. This individualised training strategy will enhance academic results by assisting teachers in creating more efficient teaching methods.

Conclusion

In conclusion, Artificial Intelligence (AI) is radically changing the face of school leadership by improving data-driven decision-making, facilitating stress management, and simplifying administrative duties. School administrators now have tools that lessen their burden, enable predictive analytics, and encourage more effective governance thanks to AI's inclusion into educational systems. However, there are still a lot of obstacles to overcome, like moral dilemmas, opposition to AI adoption, and the requirement for openness in decision-making procedures. School administrators must balance the advantages of artificial intelligence and human-centred leadership, ensuring technology enhances rather than replaces the crucial human components of leadership. AI offers school administrators several chances to increase operational effectiveness, make better decisions, and feel less stressed. However, the capacity of school administrators to handle resistance, overcome ethical issues, and strike a balance

between AI's effectiveness and human leadership skills will determine how well it integrates into educational systems. To ensure that AI is a tool that improves the educational experience for students, instructors, and the larger school community, school leaders must take a proactive and inclusive approach to AI adoption going ahead. AI can help create a more effective, just, and sustainable educational future if technology and human control are properly balanced.

Recommendations for Stress Management Strategies Using AI

1. School administrators should use AI-powered solutions for scheduling, grading, and monitoring attendance. This will lessen their administrative load and alleviate stress by enabling them to concentrate on strategic responsibilities.

2. School administrators can use AI to help prioritise work, real-time data analysis, and decision-making. This lessens ambiguity and guarantees school administrators can efficiently manage their time without feeling overburdened by conflicting requests.
3. School administrators must establish ethical standards for using AI in education. To ensure AI applications advance justice and equity, these should cover data protection and transparency while avoiding algorithmic biases.
4. School administrators should be able to make well-informed decisions on curriculum development, resource allocation, and student support services by utilising AI to assist evidence-based policymaking. Using AI to track teacher effectiveness and student progress can enhance educational results.
5. The development of AI literacy among educators and school administrators should be a top priority for policymakers. Ensuring school employees know how to use AI efficiently would boost support and lessen opposition to its implementation.

Reference

Akgün, S., & Greenhow, C. (2021). Artificial intelligence in education: Addressing ethical challenges in K-12 settings. *AI and Ethics*, *2*(3), 431–440. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-021-00096-7

Alordiah, C. O. (2023a). Appreciating the AI revolution: Empowering educational researchers through AI tools for writing research articles. Zamfara International Journal of Humanities (ZAMFARA IJOH), 2(1), 178-191. https://doi.org/zamijoh.2023.v02i01.013

Alordiah, C. O. (2023b). Proliferation of Artificial Intelligence Tools: Adaptation Strategies in the Higher Education Sector. (2023). *Propellers Journal of Education*, *2*(1), 53-65. https://ijvocter.com/pjed/article/view/68

Alordiah, C. O. (2020). Development and factorial validation of a well-being scale for the Nigerian child. *Journal of the Nigerian Council of Educational Psychologists, 13*(1), 1-12.

Alordiah, C. O., Omumu, F. C., & Kaizar V. O. (2023). Investigating why students in Nigeria perceive education as a scam. (2023, May 23). Journal of Applied Learning & Teaching (JALT), 6(1). https://doi.org/10.37074/jalt.2023.6.1.31

Alordiah, O. S. (2024). Voter Behavior and Decision-Making: Counseling-Informed Voter Decision-Making Model (CIV-DM). University of Delta Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 1(2), 165-180.

Avurakoghene, O. P., & Oredein, A. O. (2023). Educational Leadership and Artificial Intelligence for Sustainable Development. *Shodh Sari-An International Multidisciplinary Journal*, *02*(03), 211–223. https://doi.org/10.59231/sari7600

Barrett, A., & Pack, A. (2023). Not quite eye to A.I.: student and teacher perspectives on the use of generative artificial intelligence in the writing process. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *20*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023- 00427-0

Bower, M., Torrington, J., Lai, J. W. M., Petocz, P., & Alfano, M. (2024). How should we change teaching and assessment in response to increasingly powerful generative Artificial Intelligence? Outcomes of the ChatGPT teacher survey. *Education and Information Technologies*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12405-0

Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Education: A Review. *IEEE Access*, *8*, 75264–75278. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2020.2988510

Chen, B., Zhu, X., & del Castillo H., F. D. (2023). Integrating generative AI in knowledge building. *Computers and Education Artificial Intelligence*, *5*, 100184–100184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100184

Chiu, T. K. F. (2023). The impact of Generative AI (GenAI) on practices, policies and research direction in education: a case of ChatGPT and Midjourney. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2023.2253861

Filgueiras, F. (2023). Artificial intelligence and education governance. *Education Citizenship and Social Justice*,174619792311606–174619792311606. https://doi.org/10.1177/17461979231160674

George, B., & Wooden, O. S. (2023). Managing the Strategic Transformation of Higher Education through Artificial Intelligence. *Administrative Sciences*, *13*(9), 196–196. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci13090196

Göçen, A., & AYDEMİR, F. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Education and Schools. *Research on Education and Media*, *12*(1), 13–21. https://doi.org/10.2478/rem-2020-0003

Holmes, W., & Tuomi, I. (2022). State of the art and practice in AI in education. *European Journal of Education*, *57*(4), 542–570. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12533

Ivanov, S., Soliman, M., Tuomi, A., Alkathiri, N. A., & Al-Alawi, A. N. (2024). Drivers of generative AI adoption in higher education through the lens of the Theory of Planned Behaviour. *Technology in Society*, *77*, 102521–102521. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2024.102521

Jauhiainen, J. S., & Guerra, A. G. (2023). Generative AI and ChatGPT in School Children's Education: Evidence from a School Lesson. *Sustainability*, *15*(18), 14025–14025. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151814025

Kamalov, F., Calonge, D. S., & Gurrib, I. (2023). New Era of Artificial Intelligence in Education: Towards a Sustainable Multifaceted Revolution. *Sustainability*, *15*(16), 12451–12451. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151612451

Karaköse, T., & Tülübaş, T. (2024). School Leadership and Management in the Age of Artificial Intelligence (AI): Recent Developments and Future Prospects. *Educational Process International Journal*, *13*(1). https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2024.131.1

Kurtz, G., Amzalag, M., Shaked, N., Zaguri, Y., Kohen-Vacs, D., Gal, E., Zailer, G., & Barak-Medina, E. (2024). Strategies for Integrating Generative AI into Higher Education: Navigating Challenges and Leveraging Opportunities. *Education Sciences*, *14*(5), 503–503. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14050503

Luo, J. (2024). A critical review of GenAI policies in higher education assessment: a call to reconsider the "originality" of students' work. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2024.2309963

Lameras, P., & Arnab, S. (2021). Power to the Teachers: An Exploratory Review on Artificial Intelligence in Education. *Information*, *13*(1), 14–14. https://doi.org/10.3390/info13010014

Manafa, I.F., Ohamobi, I.N. & Osegbue, G.C. (2022). Utilization of ICT resources in the management of UBE in secondary schools in Anambra State. *African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies,* 7(1), 119-128

Milton, J., & Al-Busaidi, A. (2023). New Role of Leadership in AI Era: Educational Sector. *SHS Web of Conferences*, *156*, 09005–09005. https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/202315609005

Mishra, P., Warr, M., & Islam, R. (2023). TPACK in the age of ChatGPT and Generative AI. *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education*, *39*(4), 235–251. https://doi.org/10.1080/21532974.2023.2247480

O'Dea, X. (2024). Generative AI: is it a paradigm shift for higher education? *Studies in Higher Education*, *49*(5), 811–816. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2024.2332944

Ohamobi, I.N., Anaeche, I.C., Oguejiofo, C.S., Osegbue, G.C., Obi, I.E., Onyekazi, P.I. & Anagor, N.A. (2024). Professional development of teachers as correlates of teachers' job commitment in public secondary schools in Anambra State. *Journal of Higher Education Theory and Practice,* 24(2), 63-73.

Ohamobi, I.N., Manafa, I.F., & Osegbue, G.C. (2020). Curriculum implementation in secondary school on national cohesion and global competitiveness. *Journal of Contemporary Education Research,* 20(8), 30-40. Scholar.google.com

Ohamobi, I.N., Osegbue, G.C. & Manafa, I.F. (2018). Perceived influence of politics on personnel management of secondary schools in Anambra State. *American Academic and Scholarly Research Journal,* 10(3), 1-11.

Onyekazi, P.I., Ohamobi, I.N., Osegbue, G.C., Oguejiofo, C.S., Anagor, N.A. & Anaeche, I.C. (2024). Principals' stress management techniques as correlates of teachers' task performance in public secondary schools in Anambra State, Nigeria. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice,* 30(5), 14776-14783.

Osegbue, G.C., Manafa, I.F. & Ohamobi, I.N. (2022). Collaborative teaching practice and teachers' job performance: A contemporary innovative practice for employability and global competitiveness. *COOU Journal of Educational Research,* 7(1), 78-88

Osegbue, G.C. (2022). Effectiveness of visual video tutoring on students' learning in coping with COVID 19 in the new normal. *International Journal of Educational Practice (IISTE),* 13(21) 110-122.

Osegbue, G.C. (2021). Principal' management of students' personnel services for attainment of educational goals in Anambra State. *Journal of Educational Research and Development,* 4(1), 85-92.

Osegbue, G.C., & Nnubia, J.N. (2020). Adequacy of strategic plan implementation in secondary schools' administration for sustainable educational development in Anambra State, Nigeria. *Unizik Journal of Educational Management and Policy,* 4(1), 104-114.

Osegbue, G.C. (2019). Perceived impact of effective educational planning on education system for national cohesion and global competitiveness. *International Journal of Educational Practice (IISTE),* 10(11), 108-117.

Osegbue, G.C., Manafa, I.F. & Ohamobi, I.N. (2018). Effective leadership: Imperative for primary school management and supervision in Anambra State. *American Academic and Scholarly Research Journal,* 10(3), 33-43.

Perera, P., & Lankathilake, M. (2023). Preparing to Revolutionise Education with the Multi-Model GenAI Tool Google Gemini? A Journey towards Effective Policy Making. *Journal of Advances in Education and Philosophy*, *7*(08), 246–253. https://doi.org/10.36348/jaep.2023.v07i08.001

Pham, S., & Sampson, P. M. (2022). The development of artificial intelligence in education: A review in context. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *38*(5), 1408–1421. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12687

Reiß, M. (2021). The use of AI in education: Practicalities and ethical considerations. *London Review of Education*, *19*(1). https://doi.org/10.14324/lre.19.1.05

Rudolph, J., Ismail, F. M. M., & Popenici, Ş. (2024). Higher Education's Generative Artificial Intelligence Paradox: The Meaning of Chatbot Mania. *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice*, *21*(06). https://doi.org/10.53761/54fs5e77

Salas-Pilco, S. Z., Xiao, K., & Hu, X. (2022). Artificial Intelligence and Learning Analytics in Teacher Education: A Systematic Review. *Education Sciences*, *12*(8), 569–569. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12080569

Tzirides, A. O., Zapata, G. C., Kastania, N. P. 'Paulina,' Saini, A. K., Castro, V., Ismael, S. A., You, Y., Santos, T. A. dos, Searsmith, D., O'Brien, C., Cope, B., & Kalantzis, M. (2024). Combining human and artificial intelligence for enhanced AI literacy in higher education. *Computers and Education Open*, *6*, 100184–100184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeo.2024.100184

Van Quaquebeke, N., & Gerpott, F. H. (2023). The Now, New, and Next of Digital Leadership: How Artificial Intelligence (AI) Will Take Over and Change Leadership as We Know It. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, *30*(3), 265–275. https://doi.org/10.1177/15480518231181731

Wang, Y. (2020). When artificial intelligence meets educational leaders' data-informed decision-making: A cautionary tale. *Studies In Educational Evaluation*, *69*, 100872–100872. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2020.100872

Wang, Y. (2021). Artificial intelligence in educational leadership: a symbiotic role of human-artificial intelligence decision-making. *Journal of Educational Administration*, *59*(3), 256–270. https://doi.org/10.1108/jea-10-2020-0216

Walczak, K., & Cellary, W. (2023). Challenges for higher education in the era of widespread access to generative AI. *Economics and Business Review/□The □Poznań University of Economics Review*, *9*(2). https://doi.org/10.18559/ebr.2023.2.743

Yusuf, A., Pervin, N., & González, M. R. (2024). Generative AI and the future of higher education: a threat to academic integrity or reformation? Evidence from multicultural perspectives. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *21*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00453-6

Yu, L., & Yu, Z. (2023). Qualitative and quantitative analyses of artificial intelligence ethics in education using VOSviewer and CitNetExplorer. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *14*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1061778

Indexing